The photopic negative response in idiopathic intracranial hypertension
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Abstract

Purpose: To evaluate the photopic negative response (PhNR) as an index of retinal ganglion cell (RGC) function in idiopathic intracranial hypertension (IIH).

Methods: Amplitude and implicit time of the PhNR, as elicited by full-field, brief-luminance flashes was measures in IIH (n=10) and visually-normal control (n=15) subjects. Visual function was assessed in IIH subjects using standard automated perimetry mean deviation (SAP-MD) scores. Optic nerve structure was evaluated using the Frisén papilledema grading scale (FPG). Macula ganglion cell complex volume (GCCV) was extracted from optical coherence tomography images to assess RGC loss.

Results: Median PhNR amplitude was significantly lower in IIH subjects compared with control subjects (p=0.015, Mann-Whitney Rank Sum (MW)), but implicit time was similar (p=0.54, MW). In IIH subjects, PhNR amplitude and SAP-MD were correlated (Pearson’s r=0.78, p=0.008). GCCV was correlated with both SAP-MD (r=0.72, p=0.019) and PhNR amplitude (r=0.77, p=0.009). Multivariate linear regression models demonstrated that the correlation between GCCV and PhNR amplitude was improved by accounting for FPG in the model (r=0.94, p <0.0001), but the correlation between GCCV and SAP-MD was not (r=0.74, p =0.009).

Conclusions: PhNR amplitude, which can be decreased in IIH subjects, correlates well with a clinical measure of visual function (SAP-MD). In multivariate models it
correlated with both an imaging measure of chronic ganglion cell injury (GCCV) and a clinical measure of acute optic nerve head pathology (FPG). Further studies are needed to determine the clinical utility of PhNR as a marker for diagnosis and monitoring of IIH.
Introduction

Vision loss associated with papilledema, the anterior optic nerve swelling that occurs when elevated intracranial pressure (ICP) squeezes the retro-bulbar optic nerve, is the major morbidity of idiopathic intracranial hypertension (IIH), which affects 1:100,000 individuals annually and has a 20 fold higher incidence in young, obese females.\(^1\) Papilledema is caused by axoplasmic stasis in the retinal ganglion cells (RGCs) that comprise the optic nerve due mechanical compression of the RGCs by elevated ICP and/or RGC ischemia due to mechanical compression of blood vessels supplying them.\(^3\) Visual dysfunction in papilledema is attributed to RGC dysfunction caused by these same mechanisms and is clinically characterized using standard automated perimetry (SAP) to quantify peripheral vision, as central vision is typically not affected until late in the disease course. SAP is the basis for most clinical management decisions in IIH.\(^5\)\(^6\) However, abnormalities on SAP measure only some subpopulations of RGCs in the central 24 degrees of the visual field and are likely a late manifestation of ganglion cell injury.\(^7\) Furthermore, as a psychophysical test, SAP is inherently subjective and prone to patient error. This introduces uncertainty into interpreting its results with respect to RGC function.\(^8\)\(^9\)

Electrophysiological measures of RGC function offer advantages over SAP because they are objective, non-invasive, quick and have minimal patient demands. Literature regarding other optic neuropathies suggests that abnormal or absent electrophysiological responses that originate from RGCs may precede changes in SAP.\(^7\) There are multiple electrophysiological tests that are abnormal when RGC
function is compromised including the visual evoked potential (VEP), pattern electroretinogram (PERG) and photopic negative response (PhNR). The VEP, which measures the integrated function of the visual pathway from the retina to the occipital lobe, has been shown to have prolonged latency in patients who have IIH. However, its use has not been widely adopted, as a clinically relevant cutoff could not be defined. The PERG has been shown to have specificity for inner retinal dysfunction associated with RGC injury and has been demonstrated to be abnormal in patients with IIH, particularly at intermediate and high spatial frequencies. However, the PERG is limited to assessing function within the central visual field, which is not typically affected until late in the disease course in IIH. The PhNR is a slow negative component of the photopic full-field electroretinogram (ERG) that has promise for assessing RGC function in patients with IIH. The PhNR is generated by the spiking activity of inner retinal neurons, primarily retinal ganglion cells and is reduced in human patients with glaucoma, acquired optic atrophy, OPA1 associated dominant optic atrophy, anterior ischemic optic neuropathy, compressive optic neuropathy, and optic neuritis. The PhNR has also been show to correlate well with chronic structural changes of the retinal nerve fiber layer, a measure related to RGC structure, in chronic optic neuropathies. The PhNR has advantages over the PERG by being a relatively brief test and not requiring refractive correction, features which make it less prone to test taker and examiner errors. Importantly, the PhNR elicited by a full-field flash captures RGC function throughout the entire visual field, not just the central portion stimulated in PERG. This is theoretically relevant in papilledema, where early vision loss localizes to the peripheral visual field. The PhNR has not been recorded from
patients with IIH, but it may be useful for providing important information regarding RGC function in this population.

The purpose of the present study was to evaluate the PhNR in patients with IIH. PhNR amplitude and timing recorded in patients with IIH were compared to measurements made in control subjects and correlated with clinical measures of visual function and optic nerve structure. The results are intended to lay the scientific and technical foundation for the use of the PhNR as a clinical tool and potential clinical trial outcome measure for IIH.

Methods
Subjects from the Neuro-ophthalmology Service at the University of Illinois at Chicago with current or prior papilledema and a known or suspected diagnosis of IIH were recruited prospectively (n=10, age 33.0 ± 9.2 years, 9 females). IIH diagnosis was confirmed for all subjects based on medical record review. This occurred after study completion in one subject who was studied prior to diagnostic lumbar puncture. This included brain imaging not showing pathology that could elevate ICP and lumbar puncture with opening pressure ≥ 25 cm H₂O with normal cerebrospinal fluid constituents. No subjects had neurological or ophthalmic disease other than IIH or refractive error. Data were also obtained from 15 visually normal control subjects (age 39.3 ± 14.5 years, 4 females) without history of ophthalmic or neurological disease. The median age of the control and patient groups did not differ significantly (p=0.37, Mann-Whitney Rank Sum). The research followed the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and
was approved by an institutional review board of the University of Illinois at Chicago. All subjects provided informed consent.

For IIH subjects, papilledema grade at time of enrollment was evaluated by a fellowship-trained neuro-ophthalmologist according to the Frisén scale: low Frisén grade (0, 1, 2), high Frisén grade\textsuperscript{25} (3, 4, 5) or optic atrophy. IIH stage at time of enrollment was categorized as “untreated” if the subject had had a diagnostic spinal tap showing elevated intracranial pressure following the day of electrophysiological testing, “treated” if the subject was status post surgery for intracranial pressure management, such as venous sinus stent placement with subsequent spinal tap with normal opening pressure or ventriculoperitoneal shunt, or medical management with resolution of symptoms (headache, pulsatile tinnitus) of elevated intracranial pressure or “active” if the subject was on medical therapy with persistent signs or symptoms of elevated intracranial pressure (headache, pulsatile tinnitus, papilledema). Visual acuity for each eye was measured with best refraction using projected Snellen charts at 20 feet in a standard ophthalmology exam lane and was 20/20 or better for all eyes. Standard automated perimetry (SAP) was performed with appropriate refractive correction using the 24-2 Swedish Interactive Testing Algorithm (SITA) (Humphrey Field Analyzer, Carl Zeiss Meditec, Germany). Mean deviation (SAP-MD) in decibels was recorded. The pattern of visual field loss was evaluated using the visual field classification schema of the idiopathic intracranial hypertension treatment trial (IIHTT).\textsuperscript{26} Ganglion cell complex volume (GCCV) was measured from 20° x 15° high resolution OCT scans of the macula (Spectralis, Heidelberg Engineering Inc., Germany). Using Eye Explorer (Heidelberg
Engineering Inc., Germany) the internal limiting membrane was automatically segmented and manually corrected.\textsuperscript{27} The boundary between the inner plexiform layer and inner nuclear layer was segmented by manual selection of key points, to which a series of splines were automatically fit. Ganglion cell complex volume was automatically calculated as the volume between these boundaries in a 3 mm diameter cylindrical volume centered on the fovea.

Electrophysiological testing was performed on the worse seeing eye, assessed by SAP-MD, in all subjects, with the fellow eye patched. Two subjects were studied on a second occasion following unequivocal treatment of IIH. The procedure and stimuli that were used to obtain the photopic negative response (PhNR) measurements are described in detail elsewhere.\textsuperscript{28} In brief, the subjects’ pupils were dilated using 1% tropicamide and 2.5% phenylephrine hydrochloride drops. A fiber DTL recording electrode was placed along the lower eyelid and the signal from this electrode were referenced to the earlobe, with a forehead ground electrode. The LED-generated stimulus consisted of a full-field long wavelength (640 nm, red) pulse (4 ms; 3.0 cd\(\cdot\)s/m\(^2\)) presented on a steady 465 nm (blue) adapting field (12.5 cd/m\(^2\)). This combination of a long wavelength pulse on a short wavelength background has been shown to elicit large PhNRs in normal subjects.\textsuperscript{13, 28, 29} Pulses were presented with an inter-pulse interval of approximately 2 s in a ColorDome desktop ganzfeld (Diagnosys LLC, Lowell, MA) until 5 or more ERG responses with minimal eye movement artifacts were recorded. These responses were averaged for analysis. Responses were obtained using an Espion E3 electrophysiology system (Diagnosys LLC, Lowell, MA), with amplifier bandpass settings of 0.3 to 300 Hz;
the sampling frequency was 2 kHz. The amplitude and implicit time of the PhNR were defined according to previous specifications.\textsuperscript{28} That is, the PhNR amplitude was calculated as the difference between the baseline amplitude and the mean amplitude of 11 consecutive ERG data points (5.5 ms) centered at the trough of the PhNR.

The median PhNR amplitude of the IIH subjects and controls was compared using a Mann-Whitney Rank Sum (MW) test due to non-normalcy of the IIH PhNR amplitude distribution. IIH subjects with abnormal PhNR were defined as those outside the range of the laboratory normative data. Receiver operating characteristic analysis was used to identify the optimal cutoff to differentiate IIH subjects and controls. Functional measures (SAP-MD and PhNR) were compared using Pearson correlation analysis following log transformation of the PhNR amplitude values to match the log scale of the MD values. Structural assessments of the optic nerve (FPG, atrophy) and RGCs (macula GCCV) were compared using MW and Spearman correlation analysis.

Associations between functional and structural measures were studied with multiple linear regression (LR) using forward techniques. A separate univariate model was constructed for each functional measure (log PhNR, SAP-MD) with GCCV as the independent variable. Multivariate models were constructed by adding FPG as a dichotomous independent variable (high grade = FPG 3, 4, 5; not high grade = atrophy or FPG 0, 1, 2) to each univariate model. The multivariate and univariate models for each functional measure were compared with regards to fit, as represented by the correlation coefficient, $r$, and statistical significance of coefficient estimates for
independent variables. All analyses were performed using statistical software (SPSS Version 21, IBM Inc.). Statistical significance was accepted at p<0.05.

Results
The characteristics of the IIH subjects on the day of electrophysiological testing are summarized in table 1 and the subjects' disease characteristics are summarized in the Table e1. All subjects had visual field loss per the IIHTT classification schema. Visual field loss patterns included 3 widespread, 5 arcuate (2 with concurrent blind spot enlargement), 1 nasal step with blind spot enlargement and 1 isolated blind spot enlargement. Visual function assessed by SAP-MD was normal (SAP-MD > -2) in 1 subject, mildly impaired (-2 ≥ SAP-MD > -5) in 4 subjects and moderately to severely impaired (SAP-MD ≤ -5) in 5 subjects. FPG was low grade in 6 subjects and high grade in two subjects. Two subjects had optic atrophy. Macula GCCV ranged from 0.44 to 0.87 mm$^3$. The subjects with optic atrophy had the lowest GCCV. The difference in GCCV between IIH subjects with and without atrophy was significant (p=0.04, MW). GCCV did not correlate with papilledema grade (Spearman coefficient=0.31, p=0.46).

Fig. 1 shows the ERG waveforms for the 10 IIH subjects (solid lines) and the range of normal (gray region). The a-wave (first negative deflection) and b-wave (first positive deflection) were within the range of normal in all 10 IIH subjects. However, the PhNR (second negative deflection) was abnormal in 6 of the 10 IIH subjects. The PhNR amplitude was quantified and is displayed in Fig. 1. This figure shows the PhNR amplitude for the controls (left) and IIH subjects (right). The ranges of control and IIH
subject data are indicated by the gray bars and the horizontal black lines mark the
median PhNR amplitude values for the two groups. The median PhNR amplitude of the
IIH subjects was significantly less than that of the controls (median 16.4 vs. 45.3 µV,
p=0.015, MW). Receiver operating characteristic analysis indicated 22 µV to be the
optimal cutoff, giving 60% sensitivity and 100% specificity for IIH detection with an area
under the curve of 0.79 (95% CI 0.60-0.98, p=0.015). The PhNR implicit time was not
significantly different between controls and IIH subjects (median 75 ms vs. 72 ms,
p=0.54, MW). There was no association between PhNR amplitude or latency and
subject age or gender for control subjects.

Fig. 2 shows log PhNR amplitude as a function of SAP-MD amplitude. Each symbol
represents a different IIH subject (symbols correspond to those given in the table 1), the
gray region represents the range of normal PhNR amplitude, and the solid line is a
linear regression line fit to the data. There was a significant linear correlation between
log PhNR amplitude and SAP-MD (Pearson correlation coefficient r=0.78, p=0.01). The
four IIH subjects with PhNR amplitude within the range of normal had SAP-MD values
that ranged from normal to moderately impaired (SAP-MD: -0.89 to -7.31 dB). For the
six IIH subjects that had PhNR amplitude below the range of normal, SAP-MD was
mildly impaired in two, moderately impaired in one and severely impaired in three (SAP-
MD: -2.63 to -25.55 dB). Thus, for subjects with abnormal PhNR amplitude, SAP-MD
deficits ranged from mild to severe.
In Fig. 3, log PhNR amplitude (top) and SAP-MD (bottom) are plotted as a function of GCCV. The solid lines represent linear regression fits to the data. Each data point represents a different subject (given in Table 1) and subjects with high-grade papilledema are indicated with an outlined marker. There was a significant linear correlation between log PhNR amplitude and GCCV ($r = 0.77$, $p < 0.01$; top panel). However, the data points from the two patients with high-grade papilledema fell below the regression line, indicating a larger reduction in PhNR amplitude than would be expected from their GCCV. To account for papilledema grade (FPG), a multivariate regression model was developed that included FPG as a dichotomous independent variable. The addition of FPG improved the correlation between log PhNR amplitude and GCCV ($r = 0.94$, $p < 0.0001$).

There was also a significant linear correlation between SAP-MD and GCCV ($r = 0.72$, $p < 0.01$; bottom panel). The data points from the two patients with high-grade papilledema fell only slightly below the regression line, indicating GCCV provided a reasonable prediction of SAP-MD for these two patients. This suggests that consideration of FPG would not improve the predictive power of the model. To assess this suggestion quantitatively, a multivariate model was developed that included FPG as a dichotomous independent variable. After including FPG in the model, the correlation between SAP-MD and GCCV was essentially unchanged ($r = 0.74$, $p < 0.009$). Thus, accounting for FPG improved the model fit for the relationship between log PhNR and GCCV, but did not improve the model fit for the relationship between SAP-MD and GCCV.
For two subjects, a repeat measure of the PhNR was performed following a documented change in disease status. Fig. 4 shows SLO images of the optic nerve (top), HVF total deviation plots (middle), and PhNR waveforms (bottom) for subject 8. This subject presented with Frisén grade 4 papilledema, mild vision loss (SAP-MD -3.99 dB), and abnormal PhNR amplitude (-14 µV). Following two months of aggressive medical intervention with acetazolamide and weight loss, headaches and pulsatile tinnitus resolved, and papilledema improved to Frisén grade 2. SAP-MD was essentially unchanged (-3.34 dB), but the PhNR improved to normal (-39.15 µV).

Subject 5 presented with atrophic papilledema (average peripapillary retinal nerve fiber layer thickness was 60 µm using optical coherence tomography (Spectralis, Heidelberg Engineering, Germany)). One week following diagnosis she had stable severe vision loss (SAP-MD -25.6 dB) and abnormal PhNR amplitude (-6.0 µV). One month following definitive treatment of intracranial pressure with ventriculoperitoneal shunt there was neither improvement in PhNR amplitude (undetectable) nor SAP-MD (-25.4 dB) (Fig e1).

**Discussion**

We investigated an electrophysiological index of RGC activity, the photopic negative response (PhNR), in 10 subjects with IIH and report correlations with visual function (SAP-MD) and optic nerve structure. The PhNR amplitude was reduced in 60% of IIH subjects in our sample, and the extent to which the PhNR was reduced correlated with visual field abnormalities assessed by SAP-MD. The PhNR amplitude was abnormal in
all IIH subjects with optic atrophy or high-grade papilledema, including some with only mild visual field loss. Regression models showed GCCV, a measure of ganglion cell atrophy, to be moderately associated with both SAP-MD and PhNR amplitude. Multivariate regression models showed high-grade papilledema to be associated with PhNR amplitude but not SAP-MD. The results indicate that the PhNR is not a marker of elevated intracranial pressure per se, since normal PhNR amplitude was observed in one untreated subject with elevated ICP and impaired PhNR amplitudes were observed in treated subjects with normalized ICP. Rather, the PhNR appears to be a useful index of optic neuropathy in a group of individuals with IIH.

To our knowledge, this is the first investigation of the PhNR in subjects with IIH or papilledema. It builds on literature demonstrating PhNR abnormalities and correlations with clinical measures of visual function in overt optic neuropathies such as optic atrophy, optic neuritis, and glaucoma. Our observation that an anatomic measure of RGC loss (GCCV) is associated with PhNR amplitude parallels Wang and colleagues’ report that the PhNR amplitude correlated with chronic abnormalities in the peripapillary retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL), as measured with OCT greater than 6 months following optic neuritis. We report a novel observation of a multivariate association between PhNR amplitude, a measure of chronic RGC loss (GCCV) and a measure of acute RGC pathology (high FPG). This likely reflects the clinical pace of IIH and other acute optic neuropathies, in which vision loss and optic nerve abnormalities manifest over days-weeks, but measurable evidence of RGC loss does not manifest for weeks to months. Wang and colleagues’ observation that PhNR amplitude did not
correlate with RNFL thickness performed less than 6 months following optic neuritis, but did correlate with RNFL thickness performed more than 6 months following optic neuritis supports the notion of RGC loss measures such as RNFL thickness and GCCV as a marker of chronic, but not acute optic neuropathies. We propose that GCCV and high FPG provide complimentary assessments of RGC impairment in IIH throughout the course of the disease. The association of both with PhNR amplitude supports the role of the PhNR as an integrated measure of both acute and chronic injury. Further investigation will determine if this finding is specific to IIH or common to all acute optic neuropathies.

Linear regression models indicated that PhNR amplitude varied as a function of both GCCV and high papilledema grade, whereas SAP-MD was only associated with GCCV. This suggests that the PhNR amplitude can be influenced by RGC dysfunction that is not associated with visual dysfunction as assessed by visual field perimetry and is similar to what has been demonstrated in patients with multiple sclerosis without history of optic neuritis, and pre-perimetric glaucoma. One possible explanation for this is that PhNR is a full field test, while SAP measures only the central 48 degrees of the visual field. Consequently, if the effects of disease are localized beyond the central 48 degrees of the visual field, the PhNR amplitude may be reduced, but SAP-MD may be normal. There are also differences in the spatial extent of our structural measures in that FPG is an assessment of the entire optic nerve, whereas macular GCCV measures the region supplying the central 20 degrees of the visual field. While peripapillary RNFL measurements may provide a broader assessment of RGC loss, this measurement is
precluded in our subject population, in which the peripapillary region is often distorted due to papilledema.

Our observation in subject 8 that PhNR amplitude recovered in association with improving papilledema, but without significant change in SAP-MD, suggests that such pre-perimetric RGC dysfunction may be reversible. Improvement of the PhNR amplitude to normal during recovery from an acute optic neuropathy has not been previously reported. However, it is important to note that the improvement was observed in a single case and additional follow-up in a larger sample is needed to determine how commonly improvements in PhNR amplitude occur. In contrast to the results of subject 8, Nakamura and colleagues demonstrated decreased PhNR amplitudes during acute optic neuritis that did not recover despite improvement in visual function.²¹

The PhNR may provide information to supplement standard clinical markers of optic neuropathy in IIH such as visual field perimetry and ophthalmoscopy to advance clinical management of IIH. Due to its specificity for RGC activity, the PhNR may have application to monitoring RGC function in papilledema in situations when visual field perimetry is concurrently affected by both RGC and non-RGC lesions of the visual pathway. Furthermore, the PhNR is less impacted by purposeful or inadvertent patient error because it is an objective test that has minimal patient demands. For these reasons, it may have application for monitoring RGC activity in IIH in clinical situations where visual field perimetry is unreliable, such as functional vision loss, young children or cognitively impaired adults.⁸ The PhNR offers theoretical advantages over other
electrophysiological tests that measure RGC activity. The brief, full-field stimulus captures function throughout the visual field and is less prone to patient errors and poor cooperation than PERG. Its specificity for RGC function reduces artifacts from other visual pathway lesions, compared to VEP. To our knowledge a comparative study of these electrophysiological methods in IIH has not been performed, although the PhNR and PERG have been compared in glaucoma.\textsuperscript{23} Comparison of these methods, including identification of possible complimentary roles in monitoring of IIH, is an important area of future research.

In summary, we have demonstrated that patients with IIH can have reduced PhNR amplitudes, which correlate significantly with visual function as assessed by SAP-MD and optic nerve structure. Future studies are needed to evaluate the suitability of the PhNR as a clinical monitoring tool in IIH and to explore the relationship between PhNR and the reversibility of RGC injury.
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Table 1: Functional and structural measures in subjects with idiopathic intracranial hypertension at time of electrophysiological testing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>eye</th>
<th>age (years)</th>
<th>gender</th>
<th>IIH status</th>
<th>papilledema grade</th>
<th>GCCV (mm$^3$)</th>
<th>SAP – MD (dB)</th>
<th>PhNR amplitude (µV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Untreated</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>-7.31</td>
<td>46.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Untreated</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>-4.41</td>
<td>53.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Treated</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>-2.63</td>
<td>16.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>OS</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Treated</td>
<td>atrophy</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>-25.97</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>OS</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>atrophy</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>-25.58</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Treated</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>-2.47</td>
<td>42.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>OS</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>-10.30</td>
<td>15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>OS</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>-3.99</td>
<td>16.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>OS</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Untreated</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>-0.89</td>
<td>42.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>-26.55</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OD = right eye, OS = left eye, F=female, M=male, GCCV= macula ganglion cell volume, SAP-MD = standard automated perimetry mean deviation, PhNR = photopic negative response
Table E1: Disease parameters in subjects with idiopathic intracranial hypertension at time of diagnosis, time of electrophysiological testing and repeat intracranial pressure measurement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>ICP (cm H₂O)</th>
<th>Papilledema grade</th>
<th>SAP-MD (dB)</th>
<th>Interval (days since diagnosis)</th>
<th>PhNR testing day Papilledema grade</th>
<th>SAP-MD (dB)</th>
<th>follow up* ICP (cm H₂O)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-7.31</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-7.31</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-4.41</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-4.41</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-4.32</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2.63</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>atrophy</td>
<td>-28.42</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>atrophy</td>
<td>-25.97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>atrophy</td>
<td>-25.58</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>atrophy</td>
<td>-25.58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2.62</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2.47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-10.44</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-10.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-7.54</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-3.99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.89</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-29.37</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-26.55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ICP = intracranial pressure, measured as opening pressure during lumbar puncture, SAP-MD = standard automated perimetry mean deviation, PhNR = photopic negative response, NA = not available in subject who had electrophysiological testing prior to diagnostic lumbar puncture

* indicates ICP measurements performed in some subjects following PHNR testing day
Fig. 1: Photopic negative response in IIH and control subjects

Each line in the left panel represents the photopic single flash ERG response for a single IIH subject and the shaded area represents the range of responses for the control subjects. The right panel compares photopic negative response amplitudes in IIH and control subjects. Each marker represents a single subject. Horizontal lines represent the median for each group. The shaded areas are the ranges for each group.
Fig. 2: Relationship between standard automated perimetry mean deviation and log photopic negative response amplitude in IIH subjects.

Each marker represents an IIH subject. Single markers are subjects with low-grade papilledema (Frisen Grades 0, 1 or 2) or optic atrophy. Markers surrounded by a ring indicate subjects with high grade papilledema (Frisen Grades 3 or 4). The shaded area represents the range of normal photopic negative response amplitude based on control subject data (not shown). The solid line is the linear regression fit to the data.
Fig. 3: Relationship between ganglion cell complex volume and log photopic negative response amplitude (top), and standard automated perimetry (bottom)

Each marker represents an IIH subject. Single markers are subjects with low-grade papilledema (Frisen Grades 0, 1 or 2) or optic atrophy. Markers surrounded by a ring indicate subjects with high grade papilledema (Frisen Grades 3 or 4). The solid lines are the univariate linear regression fit to the data.
**Fig. 4:** Comparison of initial and follow up observations for subject 8.

The top row shows initial (left) and follow up (right) optic disc appearance as documented using scanning laser ophthalmoscopy (Spectralis, Heidelberg Engineering, Germany). The middle row shows initial (left) and follow up (right), standard automated perimetry total deviation plots and mean deviation values (Humphrey SITA 24-2). The bottom graph shows the photopic single flash ERG responses. The arrow shows the time at which the photopic negative response amplitudes were calculated.
Fig. E1: Comparison of Initial and follow up observations for subject 5.

The top row shows initial (left) and follow up (right) optic disc appearance as documented using scanning laser ophthalmoscopy (Spectralis, Heidelberg Engineering, Germany). The middle row shows initial (left) and follow up (right), standard automated perimetry total deviation plots and mean deviation values (Humphrey SITA 24-2). The bottom graph shows the photopic single flash ERG responses. The arrow shows the time at which the photopic negative response amplitudes were calculated.